UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA \* \* \* Case No. 2:16-cv-02413-RFB-VCF JOHN TURNER, Plaintiff, **ORDER** v. ARRESTING OFFICER 5-30-2016, et al., Defendants. 

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 2] of the Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered January 9, 2017.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by January 23, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

. . .

## 

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 11] is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS ORDERED that Turner's application to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF No. 1] is
4	GRANTED.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court file the complaint. [ECF No. 1-
6	1].
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is permitted to maintain the action to its
8	conclusion without the necessity of prepayment of any additional fees, costs, or security. This
9	order granting in forma pauperis status does not extend to the issuance of subpoenas at government
10	expense.
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Turner's claims against Judge Goodman, Deputy
12	District Attorney Rinetti, the State of Nevada, and the Nevada Department of Parole and
13	Propagation are <b>DISMISSED</b> with prejudice.
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Turner's claims against Clark County, the Las Vegas
15	Metropolitan Police Department, the Clark County Detention Center, and the Metro officer are
16	<b>DISMISSED</b> with leave to amend.
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Amended Complaint must be filed by February
18	27, 2017 to avoid dismissal with prejudice.
19	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the Amended Complaint is later filed, the Clerk of
20	the Court is directed NOT to issue summons on the Amended Complaint. The court will issue a
21	screening order on the Amended Complaint and address the issuance of Summons at that time, if
22	applicable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)
23	The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff.
24	
25	DATED: January 26, 2017.
26	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
27	United States District Judge
28	